

Message

From: Dan Berlin [dberlin@anchorqea.com]
Sent: 3/14/2019 7:27:10 PM
To: Gardiner, William W CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) [William.W.Gardiner@usace.army.mil]
CC: Patten, Kayla M CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) [Kayla.M.Patten@usace.army.mil]; Brick Spangler [Spangler.B@portseattle.org]; Greg Brunkhorst [gbrunkhorst@anchorqea.com]; Sanga, Ravi [Sanga.Ravi@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Revised FS
Attachments: EW Appendix A Final110118-reviewed.docx; EW FS_FINAL_Appendix A, Part 1 Figures_11-1-17.pdf; 2018-11-1 Letter to R Mednick.pdf; Figure 6-6_RAL_Areas_Actv_Remed-provided on 3-14-19 to USACE.pdf

Bill,
Sorry I missed your call yesterday. Appendix A is final; there will be no more changes. Attached is the final version of Appendix A that was agreed to by EPA and the Port during the dispute resolution process, along with the Port's letter to EPA accepting this final version. (We will update the footer with the final FS.) There were no changes to the figures between the November 2017 draft and the attached version, so the attached figures will remain part of Appendix A.
Regarding your question of whether Figure 6-6 needed to show the 5 mg/kg OC polygons for PCBs: the November 2017 draft included the 5 mg/kg OC polygons for PCBs, so we used that when we made the final updates for our January 2019 version. Section 6.2.2 and Figure 6-2 describes the 5 mg/kg OC polygons, but I agree with you, the 5 mg/kg OC polygons does not need to be shown on Figure 6-6 because we screened 5 mg/kg OC out in Appendix L (as referenced in Section 6.2.2). Attached is a new version of Figure 6-6 that deletes the 5 mg/kg OC polygons.
Let us know if you have any other questions.
Thanks
Dan

Dan Berlin, PWS
Principal

ANCHOR QEA, LLC

-----Original Message-----

From: Gardiner, William W CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) <William.W.Gardiner@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2019 2:35 PM
To: Dan Berlin <dberlin@anchorqea.com>
Cc: Patten, Kayla M CIV USARMY CENWS (USA) <Kayla.M.Patten@usace.army.mil>
Subject: Revised FS

Appendix A
Figures - do we have the right ones - we're not sure we have the right ones.

Also, were there any other appendixes that had changes. If so could you send those over as well.

We are a little stuck without App A and the correct figures.

Thanks!

Bill

William Gardiner
Risk Assessor
Technical Services Branch
Seattle District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Office: 206-764-3322
william.gardiner@usace.army.mil